## PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application :	10/60/31	Z Examiner: _	Digz_	GAU:	3623
From:	CA	Location: (	IDC) FMF FDC	Date:	1-18-05
Tracking #: 6123072-8 Week Date: 7-11-05					
	DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLA	ANEOUS	
	1449		Continuing I		
	∏ IDS ⊠CLM	11/1/01/	☐ Foreign Priority ☐ Document Legibility ☐ Fees		
	IIFW	5/6/05			
	SRFW		Other Exa NOA	miner's A	dt
	DRW		7004	5/6/05	
	☐ OATH ☐ 312				
	SPEC				
[RUSH] MESSAGE: NOA and Clamstack do not reflect the					
Claim Submitted 11/1/04.					
Examiners amendment doesn't correspond to class set					
and can't be applied. Meas Resolve					
Mark to D					
[XRUSH] RESPONSE: The claim amendment dated 10/15/04 is the					
most recent version of the claims. The claims dated 11/104 are a copy of the original claims, which were cancelled by preliminary					
arhendment. I sent an e-mail to Scanning customer support requesting correction of the dates. The email is attached.					
INITIALS: SMO					

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response 7/24/65 document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04

## Diaz, Susanna

From:

Diaz, Susanna

Sent: To: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 5:50 PM Scanning Customer Support

Subject:

Problem Image - ASN: 10601317

Application Serial Number (ASN): 10601317

Status: 7

Document Type: CLM Number of Pages: 6 Date: 06/20/2003

The claim amendment dated 10/15/04 should be the most recent version of the claims; however, a copy of the original claims was rescanned and dated 11/1/04. Can this copy of the original claims dated 11/1/04 be deleted or redated to reflect that the amendment dated 10/15/04 is the most recent version of the claims?

Thank you, Susanna Diaz Art Unit 3623